

4 May 2015

To the Australian Human Rights Commissioner

Dear Mr. Wilson,

Thank you for this more helpful response.

Whilst you have stated that governments can infringe on human rights “if the infringement is for a legitimate purpose (including public health), is proportionate and is done by law”, the Australian government has not demonstrated that using financial incentives to increase vaccination rates is for a legitimate public health purpose *or* that it is proportionate to the risk of not vaccinating. The government has not provided answers to the questions many Australians have been asking for the last two decades. I have attached an example of the standard letter that is being provided to the public to answer our concerns and this does not demonstrate that all vaccines have been added to the schedule for a legitimate public health purpose. This evidence is also not provided on the government’s Immunise Australia Program (IAP) website.

Many Australians have been asking the government to provide evidence that:

- 1) The government’s vaccination schedule is not causing the significant increase in chronic illness (including autism) that is being seen in Australian children
- 2) Evidence that all the vaccines that have been added to the Australian schedule are safe, effective and necessary. Adequate safety data for vaccines cannot be provided by governments because vaccines have never been tested using an inert placebo. The pharmaceutical companies that sponsor vaccine research have always used aluminium adjuvant or another vaccine as the ‘placebo’ in the vaccine trials.

Consumers have also been asking that the conflicts of interest of all members of the vaccination advisory boards are openly presented to the public on the government IAP website. Informed consent also requires that the ingredients of vaccines and the adverse events, as listed on the package inserts (Product Information), should be provided on the

IAP website. But over the last two decades they have never been provided to the public or doctors.

The current government vaccination schedule requires that an infant under 1 year of age receives vaccines to prevent 11 diseases yet no information has been provided to demonstrate the long-term health effects of combining 11 vaccines in a developing infant. This is because this study has never been done – in animals or humans. A lack of evidence is not proof of a lack of harm, if the studies have not been done.

Please demonstrate with evidence that Australia's vaccination policies are for a legitimate public health purpose and that they are proportionate to the risk. Many researchers and doctors have concluded that government vaccination programs are not legitimate and they are not proportionate to the risk of the diseases we are vaccinating against. This evidence can be found in the book 'Vaccine Epidemic: How Corporate Greed, Biased Science and Coercive Governments threaten our Human Rights, Our Health and Our Children'  
<http://vaccineepidemic.com/>

I will look forward to the evidence you can provide to support the Australian government's claim that the current vaccination policies are for a legitimate public health purpose and proportionate to the risk.

Kind regards,

Judy Wilyman

PhD Candidate <https://open.abc.net.au/explore/91959>